

JUDGE FRANKLIN D. BURGESS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

| | | |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) | NO. CR05-5885FDB |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED |
| vs. |) | MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL |
| |) | DATE |
| ANTHONY ADAMS, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |

Based on the unopposed motion of the defendant to continue the trial date, and the reasons set forth in the sealed affidavit of defense counsel in support of the motion, which are incorporated herein by reference, the Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

1. The ends of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

2. Proceeding to trial absent adequate time for the defense to prepare would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(I).

3. The defense needs additional time to explore issues of some complexity, including all relevant issues and defenses applicable to the case, which would make it unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for trial itself within the time limits established by the Speedy Trial Act and currently set for this case.

1 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii).

2 4. Taking into account the exercise of due diligence, a continuance is necessary
3 to allow the defendant the reasonable time for effective preparation his defense. 18

4 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

5 NOW, THEREFORE,

6 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the trial date is continued from June 5, 2006 to
7 July 31, 2006, at 9:00 am. The resulting period of delay from the current trial date of
8 June 5, 2006, up to and including the new trial date of July 31, 2006, is hereby excluded
9 for speedy trial purposes under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B).

10 Pre-trial motions shall be due on June 5, 2006.

11 Pre-trial conference shall be on July 21, 2006, at 2:00 pm.

12 DONE this 12th day of May, 2006.

13
14
15
16
17 

18 FRANKLIN D. BURGESS
19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

20 Presented By:

21 /s/ Russell V. Leonard

22 Russell V. Leonard

23 Attorney for Defendant
24
25
26